

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA

No. 2:24-cv-03786-WBS-CSK  
No. 2:24-cv-03787-WBS-CSK  
No. 2:24-cv-03788-WBS-CSK  
No. 2:25-cv-00004-WBS-CSK  
No. 2:25-cv-00010-WBS-CSK  
No. 2:25-cv-00012-WBS-CSK  
No. 2:25-cv-00015-WBS-CSK  
No. 2:25-cv-00019-WBS-CSK  
No. 2:25-cv-00020-WBS-CSK  
No. 2:25-cv-00024-WBS-CSK

**ORDER**

Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in the above-captioned civil actions. On November 29, 2018, the Court declared Plaintiff a vexatious litigant and ordered the Clerk of the Court not to file or assign a civil case number to any proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in

1 Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2: 18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No.  
2 13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of  
3 the Court to open a new case for each attempted new pleading and assign it to the Court for  
4 review. (Id. at ECF No. 26.) If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's  
5 Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (Id.)

6 The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and  
7 finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.<sup>1</sup>

8 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 2:24-cv-03786, 2:24-cv-03787, 2:24-cv-  
9 03788, 2:25-cv-00004, 2:25-cv-00010, 2:25-cv-00012, 2:25-cv-00015, 2:25-cv-00019, 2:25-cv-  
10 00020 and 2:25-cv-00024 are DISMISSED; the Clerk of the Court is directed to close these cases.  
11 No further filings will be accepted.

12 Dated: January 14, 2025



13 WILLIAM B. SHUBB  
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  

---

26 <sup>1</sup> In the caption of 2:25-cv-00015, plaintiff names the Lassen County Superior Court. In the  
27 caption of 2:25-00024, plaintiff names the Napa County Superior Court. To the extent plaintiff  
28 intended to raise the claims raised in these cases in the United States District Court for the Eastern  
District of California, this Court finds that the claims raised in 2:25-cv-00015 and 2:25-cv-00024  
are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.